

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LIEN NGUYEN,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

Case No. 3:12-cv-2644-GPC-MDD

**ORDER GRANTING  
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO  
DISMISS**


**(ECF NO. 3)**

17 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint in the San Diego Superior Court  
18 on September 18, 2012, asserting various foreclosure related claims. (ECF No. 1.)  
19 Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; US Bank National Association; and First  
20 American Trustee Servicing Solutions, LLC (“Defendants”) thereafter removed the  
21 action to federal court On October 31, 2012. (*Id.*) On November 7, 2012, Defendants  
22 filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s complaint. (ECF No. 3.) The Court thereafter set  
23 Defendant’s motion to dismiss for a hearing on April 19, 2013, and set a briefing  
24 schedule requiring any response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss to be filed on or  
25 before November 30, 2012. (ECF No. 5.)

26 To date, the Court has received no response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss.  
27 Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants’ motion to dismiss as unopposed. See  
28 CivLR 7.1.f.3.c (governing responses to motions); see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d

1 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Failure to follow a district court’s local rules is a proper  
2 ground for dismissal.”). **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiffs’ Complaint is  
3 **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to  
4 Dismiss, currently set for April 19, 2013, is **VACATED**.

5 DATED: April 11, 2013

6   
7 HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28